IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO. 13332 of 2004

Between:

Smt.Nusetty Dhanalaxmi, W/o N.Bhanu Murthy,
R/o B-4, Maitri Nilayam, Mothinagar, Hyderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad West Division at Hyderabad
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents not to assign to anybody by making plots in the petitioners land bearing Plot Nos.1 to 8 admeasuring 11,919 Sq.Yards purchased by the petitioner under Registered sale deeds vide document Nos.1839 to 1846 of 2001 dated 28-04-2001, situated at Madanpally Village, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.B.RANGANADH RAO, Advocate.

Counsel for the Respondents: Govt. Pleader for REVENUE .

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

Oral Order:

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and also learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

Rule Nisi.

Petitioner claiming to be the owner and possessor of 11,919 square yards having purchased the same under different sale deeds filed the present writ petition contending that after purchase of the plots he applied for permission to the Gram Panchayat, Madanapally on 10-05-2001 for construction of compound wall and one room. The Gram Panchayat approved the same and granted permission on 22-05-2001. As per the said permission, petitioner is developing the land and she is in peaceful possession of the same. While the matter stood thus, some antisocial elements with an intention to garb land of the petitioner pressuring the Mandal Revenue Officer for making plots and allot to third parties. If the same is allowed petitioner would be put to loss and mental agony. With the above allegations petitioner filed the present writ petition.

On a perusal of the affidavit averments it seems that the petitioner made the allegations against the MRO only for the purpose of filing writ petition instead of filing civil suit against the anti-social elements who are tiring to dispossess her from the land.

In view of the same, the writ petition fails and it is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____ A.GOPAL REDDY, J.

29-07-2004

Murthy

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District at Hyderabad.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Hyderabad West Division at Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

4.Two CCs to the Govt. Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT).

2.2CD copies